| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|--|--|---|
|  | 09/890,171   | KITAO, YOSHITAKA  |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|  | Frantzy Poinvil  | 3692  |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application  | :   |
| (1) Frantzy Poinvil.   | (3)  |   |
| (2) <u>Kien Le</u> .   | (4)  |   |
| Date of Interview: 25 October 2007   | Time:  |   |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  | icant's representative)  |   |
| Part I.  |  |   |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None   |  |   |
| Claims discussed: 30, 49, 50, and 58   |  |   |
| Prior art documents discussed: None  |  |   |
| Part II.   |  |   |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN<br>See the attached Examiner's Amendment.  | IERAL NATURE OF WHAT \   | WAS DISCUSSED:  |
| Part III.  |  |   |
| <ul> <li>✓ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>✓ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul> | The examiner will provide a vertical to the examiner will provide a vertical to the substance of | written summary of the substance the interview. since the interview |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
| <u> </u>   |  |   |
| Voin _   |  |   |
| Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica   | nt/Applicant's Representative  | Signature – if appropriate)   |